# ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 North Grand Avenue East, P.O. Box 6, Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 • (217) 782-2829 **BRUCE RAUNER, GOVERNOR** 

**LISA BONNETT, DIRECTOR** 

217-782-7592

April 1, 2015

**US EPA RECORDS CENTER REGION 5** 

Mr. Thomas D. Lupo Williams Montgomery & John 20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2100 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Mr. Scott Moyer Manager, Remediation United Technologies Corporation 10501 Ray Drive Roscoe, IL 61073

2010300018- Winnebago County Re:

Interstate Pollution Control - Rockford, Illinois

Request for Institutional Control Study

Superfund/Technical Reports

Dear Mr. Lupo and Mr. Moyer:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") has undertaken an initiative to evaluate institutional controls ("ICs") at Superfund sites. ICs may be needed to restrict uses of sites where onsite hazardous substances remain above levels that allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure. ICs may be necessary to prevent interference with Superfund remedy components. A description of EPA's IC initiative may be found in "Strategy to Ensure Institutional Control Implementation at Superfund Site, "OSWER No. 9355.0-106 (2004), http://www.epa.gov/superfund/policy/ic/strategy.htm

EPA seeks the cooperation of potentially responsible parties as part of this nationwide effort. Since this site is State lead, the Illinois Environmental protection Agency (Illinois EPA or Agency) will be reviewing the ICs on behalf of U.S. EPA. The purpose of this letter is to seek your assistance in evaluating ICs for the Interstate Pollution Control (IPC) Superfund Site ("the Site"), located at Rockford, Illinois. Specifically Illinois EPA is requesting that you submit an IC investigation/study to Illinois EPA within 90 days of receipt of this letter. Please provide Illinois EPA with a notice of intent to comply with this request within 14 days of the date of receipt of this letter. Please copy U.S. EPA on all correspondence to Illinois EPA on this endeavor.

The IC investigation/study will be used by Illinois EPA in its current review of the remedial action for the Site pursuant to Section 121 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and

4302 N. Main St., Rockford, IL 61103 (815)987-7760 595 S. State, Eigin, IL 60123 (847)608-3131 2125 S. First St., Champaign, IL 61820 (217)278-5800 2009 Mail St., Collinsville, IL 62234 (618)346-5120

9511 W. Harrison St., Des Plaines, IL 60016 (847)294-4000 5407 N. University St., Arbor 113, Peorla, IL 61614 (309)693-5462 2309 W. Main St., Suite 116, Marion, IL 62959 (618)993-7200 100 W. Randolph, Suite 10-300, Chicago, IL 60601 (312)814-6026 Five Year Institutional Control Review Interstate Pollution Control April 1, 2015 Page 2 of 5

Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9621. Section 121 of CERCLA mandates that, no less often than every five years, EPA must review remedial actions where hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remain in place, to assure that human health and the environment is being protected by the remedial action.

As you know, remedial actions have been taken at the IPC site, but the remedy does not allow for unlimited use and unrestricted exposure. The long term protectiveness, effectiveness and integrity of the remedy depend on compliance with ICs that were required by the Site's Record of Decision (ROD) and the Consent Decree.

Paragraph 16 of Section VII (State Periodic Review) of Consent Order 05 C 50138 states, "RD/RA Performing Settling Defendants shall conduct any studies and investigations as requested by Illinois EPA, in order to permit Illinois EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Remedial Action is protective of Human health and the environment at least every five years as required by Section 121 (c) of CERCLA and any applicable regulations."

The IC investigation/study is necessary for Illinois EPA to conduct a review to determine whether the remedial action remains protective of human health and the environment.

The goals of the IC investigation/study are: a) to evaluate whether institutional controls currently exist that were required by the ROD and Consent Decree; b) to identify and recommend any corrective measures to existing ICs necessary for their effectiveness; and c) to recommend any new or additional ICs.

### **IC Study Report Requirements**

Within 90 days of receipt of this letter, please submit a draft IC investigation/study report to Illinois EPA for review and approval that includes the following minimum requirements:

- Current Map of Restricted Areas: Provide a map that identifies the current boundaries of the
  restricted areas (that do not allow unlimited use and unrestricted exposure), boundaries of the
  Site, streets, easements, property ownership, and assessor's parcel number or other recorded
  plat or survey information.
- 2. GIS Information: Provide Geographic Information System ("GIS") coordinates that show the current boundaries of restricted areas and boundaries of the Site. Identify the accuracy of the coordinates (i.e. within 0.01 feet). An Illinois-licensed surveyor shall provide certification that all coordinates are accurate within 0.01 feet. Please format the coordinates of the restricted areas and boundaries into an ESRI polygon-shape file. The shape file shall be projected into the UTM, and boundaries into an ESRI polygon-shape file. The shape file shall be projected into the UTM, NAD 83 projection system. Provide an attribute name in the shape file for each polygon submitted. For example: "site boundary", "no restrictions", "recreational only", "industrial only".

Five Year Institutional Control Review Interstate Pollution Control April 1, 2015
Page 3 of 5

3. Documentation on Existing Proprietary Controls: Provide copies by the Recorder of Deeds (or other appropriate land records office) showing the clerk's recording stamps of existing proprietary controls (environmental restrictive covenants/easements etc.) for the restricted areas described above. Provide an aerial map that depicts the boundaries of the restricted area covered by the existing proprietary control, streets, easements, property ownership, and parcel numbers. Assess and discuss whether the boundaries of the area covered by existing proprietary controls match the boundaries of restricted areas based on current information.

# 4. Assessment of Existing Proprietary Controls:

- a. Title Evaluations:
  - Obtain from a title company a title insurance commitment using ALTA
     Commitment Form 1982 as amended "for information only purposes" for the
     restricted areas. Include copies of documents referenced in the title
     commitment. Include copies of encumbrances, utility right of ways, leases, and
     subleases impacting restricted areas;
  - ii) Discuss whether the title commitment identifies/exempts existing proprietary controls for restricted areas;
  - iii) Provide an aerial map that identifies parcel numbers and boundaries of current encumbrances (such as utility easements) that impact restricted areas. Discuss efforts to obtain subrogation agreements for such encumbrances. Include copies of subrogation agreements that have or will be obtained for such encumbrances.
- b. Assess and discuss whether existing proprietary controls have been executed in a legally enforceable manner. Discuss whether a grantee or prior owner "holds" the proprietary controls. Discuss whether the current owner is under an obligation for compliance with the land and groundwater restriction described above. Discuss whether existing proprietary controls "run with the land" (i.e. restrictions are binding on subsequent property owners). Discuss whether existing proprietary controls implement the IC objectives/performance standards described above.
- 5. Documentation on Government Controls: Identify and provide a current, dated and official copy of existing governmental controls (ordinance, statutes, etc.) that implement the IC objectives/performance standards for the restricted areas described above. Discuss whether the governmental control restricts all areas of unlimited use and unrestricted exposure at the Site. Indicate whether the governmental control contains a figure showing the current boundaries of the unrestricted areas based on most recent information. Indicate where to obtain information about the governmental control (ordinance). Explain how affected parties such as homeowners, contractors and resource users can obtain information about the governmental control. Indicate whether the governmental agency has up-to-date maps of restricted areas. If such a map is available, secure and provide a copy. Discuss whether affected parties and resource users are

Five Year Institutional Control Review Interstate Pollution Control April 1, 2015 Page 4 of 5

aware of and understand the restrictions described above. Have there been breaches of the use restriction described above? If so, how were they addressed by the governmental agency?

- 6. **Discuss compliance with Institutional Controls:** Discuss whether the property is being used in a manner consistent with the restrictions in the Consent Decree. Conduct site inspections and interviews with owners, lessees and other holders of property interests, and summarize the results. Indicate whether owners, lessees and other holders of property interests are aware of and are complying with the restrictions. Indicate whether land use or expected land use on or near the site has changed since execution of the ROD. Indicate whether there are any new developments, either constructed or planned, in the area. Indicate whether there are any new construction permits pending. Indicate whether the property owner has any plans to sell or transfer the property.
- 7. Assess monitoring: Discuss how, when, and by whom compliance with the institutional controls is monitored. Discuss whether the results of the IC monitoring are routinely and promptly shared with EPA and the State. Discuss whether there are measures in place to ensure that modifications to the restriction require EPA and Illinois EPA approval.
- 8. Discuss effectiveness of Institutional Controls: Discuss whether existing ICs are preventing exposure to hazardous wastes, pollutants or contaminants. Discuss whether there is potential human or ecological exposure. Discuss whether land and/or resource use has changed since execution of the ROD, ESD and ROD Amendment. If land or resource use has changed, discuss the plans regarding the property's ICs. Discuss how the current land and resource uses relate to exposure assumptions and risk calculations. Discuss whether there are any unintended consequences resulting from the use of a particular restriction. Assess whether the controls (or lack of controls) are effective in the short term in maintaining land/groundwater restrictions above, maintaining performance standards and preventing exposure. Assess whether the control (or lack of controls) will be effective in the long term in maintaining the land and groundwater restrictions above, maintaining remedy performance standards and preventing exposure.
- 9. Recommendations: Propose any corrections to existing institutional controls that are necessary to ensure that the land and groundwater use restrictions described, above, are implemented correctly, are maintained and will be protective in the short term and the long term. Propose controls for remaining areas that do not support unlimited use and unrestricted exposure, but are not covered by existing controls. Include a title commitment for any proposed proprietary control. Propose subrogation agreements for any encumbrance that negatively impacts restricted areas. Propose subrogation agreements for any encumbrance that negatively impacts restricted areas. Propose monitoring requirements and modifications to the Operation and Maintenance Plan to ensure that ICs are maintained and complied with in the short term and in the long term. The monitoring plan must include a schedule and an annual certification to Illinois EPA that ICs are in place and remain effective.

Please provide Illinois EPA with a notice of intent to comply with this request within 14 days of the date of receipt of this letter.

Five Year Institutional Control Review Interstate Pollution Control April 1, 2015 Page 5 of 5

If you have any questions concerning this request, please contact me at 217-557-8155 or via electronic mail at <a href="mailto:Brian.Conrath@illinois.gov">Brian.Conrath@illinois.gov</a>. You may also contact Howard Caine, Remedial Project Manager for U.S. EPA, at 312-353-9685 or via electronic mail at <a href="mailto:caine.howard@epa.gov">caine.howard@epa.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Brian a. Consitte

Brian A. Conrath Remedial Project Manager Federal Site Remediation Section Bureau of Land Illinois EPA

Coloricles:H:\IPC\IPC5yrlCrvw032015.doex

cc: Howard Caine, U.S. EPA
Michael Hirt, EIL

Five Year Institutional Control Review Interstate Pollution Control April 1, 2015 Page 6 of 5

bcc:

Bureau File

## CC Addresses:

Howard Caine
Remedial Project Manager
Superfund Division, SR-6J
United States Environmental Protection Agency
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590

Mr. Michael Hirt Environmental Information Logistics, LLC (EIL) 446 S Hawthorne Avenue Elmhurst, IL 60126